

United States Patent and Trademark Office



cn

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/726,499 11/30/2000		Patrick Schauerte	7040-12	1106
7:	590 02/09/2004		EXAMINER	
Stephen L. Gr		BRADFORD, RODERICK D		
Oldham & Oldham Co., L.P.A. Twin Oaks Estate 1225 West Market Street Akron, OH 44313-7188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/726,499	SCHAUERTE, PATRICK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roderick Bradford	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	<u>anuary 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	olosiisii roquirollisii					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/726,499 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 13, 2004 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed April 9, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the Mehra reference, the argument that Mehra wire unit is not "tubular" is not persuasive since Mehra states that "expandable electrodes taking the form of expandable tubular meshes" (see column 4, line 68 - column 5, lines 1-3).

With respect to the Dahl reference, the Dahl references meets the claim limitation of "in the second state, the probe does not project radially into the lumen along the length of the wire unit in a manner that reduces the cross sectional area of the blood vessel" (column 3, lines 46-52) since the claim does not state that vessel wall is "in contact" along the entire length of the vessel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 3762

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. "Having a cross sectional area along an entire length of the wire unit" is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). There is no support in the specification that says "having a cross sectional area along an entire length of the wire unit".
- 5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The original specification does not disclose "wherein, in the second state, the probe does not project radially into the lumen along the length of the wire unit in a manner that reduces the cross-sectional area of the blood". This rejection is related to new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mehra et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,170,802.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

Referring to claim 1, Mehra discloses an electrode for intravascular stimulation, cardioversion and/or defibrillation comprising:

- A stimulation probe which can be fixed in a blood vessel by way of electrical or magnetic pulses and cardioversion/defibrillation shocks can be delivered (column 2, lines 52-57)
- Wherein a metallic, electrically conductive tubular wire unit adjoins the feed line in the axial direction (Fig. 4 and column 5, lines 1-3)
- Forms an expansion body that can be deployed in the corresponding vessel and bears against the wall of the vessel from the interior thereof under expansion (column 2, lines 9-13).

Referring to claim 2, wherein the inflatable balloon body is provided for expansion in the interior wire, which is plastically deformable (abstract).

Referring to claim 5, wherein the wire unit is a cylindrical coil (column 2, lines 9-13).

8. Claims 1, 3, 8, 9 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dahl et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,531,779.

Referring to claim 1, Dahl discloses an electrode for intravascular stimulation, cardioversion and/or defibrillation comprising:

 A stimulation probe which can be fixed in a blood vessel by way of electrical or magnetic pulses and cardioversion/defibrillation shocks can be delivered (column 2, lines 3-7)

Art Unit: 3762

 Wherein a metallic, electrically conductive tubular wire unit adjoins the feed line in the axial direction (column 2, lines 42-45)

 Forms an expansion body that can be deployed in the corresponding vessel and bears against the wall of the vessel from the interior thereof under expansion (column 3, lines 46-52).

Referring to claim 3, wherein the wire unit resiliently expands itself from a pressressed compressed condition inside the vessel (column 4, line 6-10).

Referring to claim 8, wherein a radial diameter of the wire unit changes in the longitudinal direction (Fig. 3).

Referring to claim 9, wherein the wire unit is of a conical type (column 4, lines 3-10).

Referring to claim 13, wherein a control unit is electrically communicated to the wire unit provides at least one control signal thereto (column 2, lines 20-29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 7, 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehra et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,170,802 in view of Laufer et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,283,989.

Referring to claims 7, 11 and 16, Mehra fails to disclose a device wherein an

Art Unit: 3762

induction unit supplies the electrode with voltage and inductively heats the electrode. However, Laufer discloses a device wherein an induction unit supplies the electrode with voltage and inductively heats the electrode (column 2, lines 32-37) as a means to more efficiently provide energy to the electrode.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Mehra to include wherein an induction unit supplies the electrode with voltage and inductively heats the electrode, as taught by Laufer, as a means to more efficiently provide energy to the electrode.

11. Claims 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehra (or Dahl) et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,170,802.

Referring to claims 10 and 17, Mehra (or Dahl) discloses
the claimed invention except for wherein the wire unit is coated with a medicant. It
would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention
was made to modify the wire unit as taught by Mehra (or Dahl), with medicant since it is
well known in the art that leads include a medicant to help reduce the stress on blood
vessels caused by the insertion of the lead.

12. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehra et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,170,802.

Referring to claims 14 and 15, Mehra discloses the claimed invention except for wherein the balloon body is pneumatically or hydraulically inflatable. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as taught by Mehra, to include a balloon body that is pneumatically or

Art Unit: 3762

hydraulically inflatable since it was known in the art that these two procedures are used as alternative means to inflate the balloon body.

13. Claim 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehra (or Dahl) et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,170,802.

Referring to claim 19, Mehra (or Dahl) discloses

the claimed invention except for wherein the feed line is terminated with a ring to form a bipolar electrode. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the feed line of Mehra (or Dahl), with a ring to form a bipolar electrode since it was well known to use bipolar electrodes as a more efficient way of sensing and stimulating the heart.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sutton et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,529,779.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roderick Bradford whose telephone number is (703) 305-3287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7 a.m. - 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3762

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

北島. R.B.

> ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700